


# Road Conditions & Signage

## Overall Satisfaction with Road Condition and Signage Adequacy on the Forest


Satisfaction Rating	Forest-Wide Road Condition (% Visits)	Forest-Wide Signage Adequacy (% Visits)
Very Satisfied	51.2	57.2
Somewhat Satisfied	26.8	27.2
Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	10.4	8.5
Somewhat Dissatisfied	4.5	3.5
Very Dissatisfied	2.1	1.0
Not Applicable	4.9	2.6

Importance Rating	Roads (% Visits)	Signage (% Visits)
5	56.8	55.7
4	26.2	24.8
3	12.5	12.3
2	2.9	4.0
1	1.6	3.2

## Satisfaction with Forest-wide Road Conditions & Signage Adequacy



## Importance of Forest-wide Road Conditions & Signage Adequacy



Selected Regions:

## Overall Satisfaction with Road Condition and Signage Adequacy on the Forest

Northern Region (R1) (FY 2015, FY 2016, FY 2017, FY 2018, FY 2019)

Rocky Mountain Region (R2) (FY 2015, FY 2016, FY 2017, FY 2018, FY 2019)

Southwest Region (R3) (FY 2015, FY 2016, FY 2017, FY 2018, FY 2019)

Intermountain Region (R4) (FY 2015, FY 2016, FY 2017, FY 2018, FY 2019)

Pacific Southwest Region (R5) (FY 2015, FY 2016, FY 2017, FY 2018, FY 2019)

Pacific Northwest Region (R6) (FY 2015, FY 2016, FY 2017, FY 2018, FY 2019)

Southern Region (R8) (FY 2015, FY 2016, FY 2017, FY 2018, FY 2019)

Eastern Region (R9) (FY 2015, FY 2016, FY 2017, FY 2018, FY 2019)

Alaska Region (R10) (FY 2015, FY 2016, FY 2017, FY 2018, FY 2019)